UNREDACTED VERSION OF EXHIBIT 22 SOUGHT TO BE FILED UNDER SEAL

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1698-17 Filed 09/19/17 Page 2 of 13 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
                UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
               NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 2
 3
                   SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
4
5
     WAYMO LLC,
                                    )
                 Plaintiff,
6
7
                                   ) Case No.
             VS.
    UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.; ) 3:17-cv-000939-WHA
8
9
     OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING, )
10
     INC.,
11
                  Defendants. )
12
        HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
13
14
15
       VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF KRISTINN GUDJONSSON
16
                   Palo Alto, California
17
                   Friday, July 28, 2017
18
                          Volume I
19
20
    Reported by:
21
    CARLA SOARES
    CSR No. 5908
22
23
    JOB No. 2665814
24
    PAGES 1 - 242
25
                                                 Page 1
```

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1698-17 Filed 09/19/17 Page 3 of 13 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	BY MR. TAKASHIMA:	11:13:08
2	Q Do you understand that what used to be	
3	Project Chauffeur has become Waymo?	
4	A Yes.	
5	Q If I use the term "Waymo," will you	11:13:13
6	understand that to include Project Chauffeur before?	
7	A Yes.	
8	MR. BAKER: Let me just clarify, also,	
9	Counsel, for the record, that my previous objections	
10	were attorney-client privilege and work product.	11:13:22
11	MR. TAKASHIMA: Thank you.	
12	Q Was the investigation of Anthony	
13	Levandowski the first investigation you were	
14	involved in that concerned a former Waymo employee?	
15	MR. BAKER: You can answer that yes or no.	11:13:52
		11:13:52
15	MR. BAKER: You can answer that yes or no.	11:13:52
15 16	MR. BAKER: You can answer that yes or no. THE WITNESS: Yes.	11:13:52
15 16 17	MR. BAKER: You can answer that yes or no. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. TAKASHIMA:	11:13:52
15 16 17	MR. BAKER: You can answer that yes or no. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. TAKASHIMA: Q Are you aware of any investigations of	11:13:52
15 16 17 18	MR. BAKER: You can answer that yes or no. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. TAKASHIMA: Q Are you aware of any investigations of former Waymo employees prior to the investigation of	
15 16 17 18 19	MR. BAKER: You can answer that yes or no. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. TAKASHIMA: Q Are you aware of any investigations of former Waymo employees prior to the investigation of Mr. Levandowski?	
15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. BAKER: You can answer that yes or no. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. TAKASHIMA: Q Are you aware of any investigations of former Waymo employees prior to the investigation of Mr. Levandowski? MR. BAKER: You can answer that yes or no.	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. BAKER: You can answer that yes or no. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. TAKASHIMA: Q Are you aware of any investigations of former Waymo employees prior to the investigation of Mr. Levandowski? MR. BAKER: You can answer that yes or no. THE WITNESS: No.	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. BAKER: You can answer that yes or no. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. TAKASHIMA: Q Are you aware of any investigations of former Waymo employees prior to the investigation of Mr. Levandowski? MR. BAKER: You can answer that yes or no. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. TAKASHIMA:	


Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1698-17 Filed 09/19/17 Page 4 of 13 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY



Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1698-17 Filed 09/19/17 Page 5 of 13 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	A That would be the same, except I do	14:56:10
2	believe reports back, I think. I'm not sure.	
3	is another system that runs on Windows	
4	systems that gives certain information out to other	
5	systems.	14:56:25
6	Q What information does report	
7	back?	
8	A I would have to look it up.	
9	Q What does do?	
10	A is the system that we used to	(14:56:43)
11	register all the systems in. So that would be,	
12	like, hardware, serial number of hard drives, asset	
13	tag, history of the machine as in, you know, which	
14	users was it assigned to at what time, who accepted	
15	it from Tech Stop when it was returned back, and the	14:57:02
16	history of the machine.	
17	Q Is the idea behind that it collects	
18	all information Google has that's tied to a specific	
19	machine?	
20	A Not necessarily all, but all the pertinent	14:57:20
21	that they need for	
22		
23	Q And is that typically examined during	
24	forensic investigations?	
25	A Yes.	14:57:33
		Page 173

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1698-17 Filed 09/19/17 Page 6 of 13 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY



Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1698-17 Filed 09/19/17 Page 7 of 13 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY



Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1698-17 Filed 09/19/17 Page 8 of 13 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY



Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1698-17 Filed 09/19/17 Page 9 of 13 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY



Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1698-17 Filed 09/19/17 Page 10 of 13 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY



Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1698-17 Filed 09/19/17 Page 11 of 13 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	today, you are not aware of any evidence that	15:29:57
2	Mr. Levandowski copied files off the computer before	
3	it was reimaged?	
4	MR. BAKER: Objection to form.	
5	THE WITNESS: I can only tell you what's	15:30:06
6	in the declaration here, what we see here.	
7	BY MR. TAKASHIMA:	
8	Q To your knowledge, has there been any	
9	investigation of Mr. Levandowski's e-mail account at	
10	Google?	15:30:53
11	A I'm not sure.	
12	Q To your knowledge, has anybody connected	
13	with an investigation reviewed the contents of	
14	Mr. Levandowski's e-mail account at Google?	
15	A I'm not sure.	15:31:05
16	Q Who would know?	
17	A Lawyers.	
18	Q Would anybody else in digital forensics	
19	know?	
20	A Potentially, but probably not. It	15:31:22
21	probably would have been me if that check was made.	
22	Q Have you conducted been involved in any	
23	review of Mr. Levandowski's workstation from Google?	
24	A The workstation, no.	
25	Q Has anybody else from digital forensics	15:31:42
		Page 198

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1698-17 Filed 09/19/17 Page 12 of 13 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	conducted that investigation?	15:31:44
2	A No.	
3	Q Has anybody looked at Mr. Levandowski's	
4	workstation?	
5	A Not to my knowledge.	15:31:49
6	Q Has the workstation been preserved?	
7	A Not to my knowledge.	
8	Q Okay. Has it been deleted?	
9	A I'm not sure. I would have to go check.	
10	Q Did you ever discuss with anybody	15:32:07
11	investigating Mr. Levandowski's workstation?	
12	MR. BAKER: Again, I just want to caution	
13	the witness not to disclose any communications that	
14	he's had with attorneys.	
15	If you have a question, we can go outside.	15:32:22
16	MR. TAKASHIMA: Do you want to take a	
17	break?	
18	THE WITNESS: Yes. Let's do that.	
19	THE VIDEO OPERATOR: We are now going off	
20	the record, and the time is 3:32.	15:32:31
21	(Recess, 3:32 p.m 3:39 p.m.)	
22	THE VIDEO OPERATOR: We're now going back	
23	on the record, and the time is 3:39.	
24	BY MR. TAKASHIMA:	
25	Q Did you ever discuss with anybody whether	15:39:05
		Page 199

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1698-17 Filed 09/19/17 Page 13 of 13 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	I, the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand
2	Reporter of the State of California, do hereby
3	certify:
4	That the foregoing proceedings were taken
5	before me at the time and place herein set forth;
6	that any witnesses in the foregoing proceedings,
7	prior to testifying, were administered an oath; that
8	a record of the proceedings was made by me using
9	machine shorthand which was thereafter transcribed
10	under my direction; that the foregoing transcript is
11	a true record of the testimony given.
12	Further, that if the foregoing pertains to
13	the original transcript of a deposition in a Federal
14	Case, before completion of the proceedings, review
15	of the transcript [] was [X] was not requested.
16	I further certify I am neither financially
17	interested in the action nor a relative or employee
18	of any attorney or any party to this action.
19	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date
20	subscribed my name.
21	Dated: 7/29/2017
22	
23	Carla Soares
24	CARLA SOARES
25	CSR No. 5908
	Page 242